

**Electromagnetic Emission Survey
(2010)**

at

Maseline Harbour - Sark

Report details:

Date of survey	26 May 2010
Date report issued	14 June 2010
Survey conducted by	Doug Holmes
Report compiled by	Simon Short
Report checked by	Bachir Belloul
Document No:	REDW_OPS_2010090

The information contained within this document is subject to change without notice. This document and any information contained therein is intended for the use of customers of Red-M Wireless Ltd. only. No part of this document may be reproduced or transmitted to a third party in any form or means without the prior written consent of Red-M Wireless Ltd. The information or statements provided in this document concerning the suitability, capability or performance of the mentioned hardware or software products cannot be considered binding upon Red-M Wireless Ltd. Red-M Wireless Ltd. will not be responsible for errors in this document or for any damages, incidental or consequential, including any monetary losses, that might arise from the use of this document or reliance upon information contained within it.



1 Introduction

This report contains results of an electromagnetic (EM) exposure survey conducted at the Maseline Harbour, Sark on the 26/05/2010 at 12:15pm by Red-M on behalf of the Office of Utility Regulation (OUR) of the Bailiwick of Guernsey.

The report also includes a discussion of relevant scientific information and comparison of the measured field levels with ICNIRP recommendations.

The survey was requested by the OUR in order to ascertain licensee compliance with international standards on electromagnetic emissions from radio sites.

2 EM Exposure Background

All radio waves are electromagnetic waves, which are composed of electric and magnetic fields. These waves are referred to as 'non-ionising radiation' as distinct from the ionising radiation produced by radioactive sources. We are all regularly exposed to EM radiation from a variety of sources.

Exposure to EM waves is measured in terms of the electric and magnetic field strengths, which are produced by a transmitter at locations, which could be accessed by the public. The electric field strength, E, is measured in volts per meter [$V.m^{-1}$]. The power that could be absorbed by an object at a given location is proportional to the area of the object multiplied by the square of the electric field strength.

In this report, the Exposure Quotient (EQ) is calculated to express the ratio of the measured power density levels (expressed in W/m^2) to the ICNIRP Reference power density levels (derived from the Reference field strength levels). The EQ is then summed over all the frequencies in each of the surveyed bands to yield the band exposure quotient as shown in the Survey Results section of this document. A band EQ of 1 (unity) means that the cumulative radiation levels at the surveyed frequency bands has reached or exceeded the ICNIRP Reference level.

The potential health impact of EM fields has been studied for many years by both civil and military bodies. The increase in the usage of mobile phones has caused an increased public concern in this area, with the result that a number of bodies have been set up and tasked with overseeing research into such effects. The conclusions from these investigations are used to set regulatory limits on field exposure which reflect a precautionary principle based on the current state of knowledge.

The key findings of the International Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) are reviewed in the next section.



3 The ICNIRP Guidelines

ICNIRP is an independent non-governmental scientific organization, for the World Health Organization and the International Labour Office, responsible for providing guidance and advice on the health hazards of non-ionizing radiation exposure.

After examining available research, ICNIRP issued guidelines for exposure limits. The ICNIRP guidelines for the public have been used as a basis for a European Council recommendation on limiting exposure of the public to electromagnetic fields¹ and have been approved by the UK's Health Protection Agency (HPA) in its board recommendation. Reference field strength limits for typical cellular frequencies are shown in the table below. The variation of the reference levels with frequency is shown in the diagram.

ICNIRP Reference Field Strengths, [V/m]	900 MHz	1.8 GHz	>2GHz <300GHz
Field Workers	90	127.3	137
General Public	41.25	58.3	61

Table 1 – ICNIRP Reference field Strengths




Figure 1 - ICNIRP Reference Field Strength Levels versus frequency for public and occupational exposures

¹ European Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC of 12 July 1999 on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0Hz to 300GHz). *Official Journal of the European Communities*.



4 Survey Methodology

EM field levels have been measured in this survey using a carefully designed and controlled methodology. Elements of this methodology include:

1. A peak search around the site performed in order to determine with accuracy the location where the maximum radiation levels are being received. To achieve this, the survey engineer walks in the area surrounding the site along a pre-defined template path, using the hand-held probe and notes the location of maximum reading.
2. Subject to accessibility, walks are limited to a nominal 100m from the site. Generally, stretching up to the point (and slightly beyond) where the peak values are measured.
3. A note of the peak position is made by the engineer.
4. The probe is then positioned on a tripod at the exact location of the maximum radiation level readings and the measurement taken. The height of the probe is approximately 1.5m above the ground.
5. The exact measurement position is recorded using a GPS receiver and photos of the site are taken.

Equipment detail

The measurements are performed using:

- an isotropic field probe, which reacts to all polarisations (directions) of the electric field, in a similar way to biological tissue.
- a carefully calibrated exposure level meter for all cellular frequencies to ensure that that the measurements are meaningful and accurate.

Description	Type	Barcode ID
Isotropic probe	SRM-3000	5855
Spectrum Analyser	SRM-3000SA	5854

Table 2 – Equipment Details

In instances where field strengths at given frequency bands known to be transmitting at the site were found to be lower than the sensitivity of the probe, Red-M will provide an estimation of the field strength based on the geometry of the antenna location, the transmitter parameters provided by the operator and a theoretical assumption on how the electromagnetic waves would propagate to the ground. This theoretical assumption will consist of a worst-case scenario and would therefore yield the highest possible field strength levels under those assumptions.



5 Survey Results

5.1 Site Details



Figure 2 - Map of the Site Area

Operators	C&W
Site name/ ID	Maseline Harbour
Site address	Harbour Hill, Sark
Site Location	N49.43203 W02.34273
Configuration	Sector (x1)
Approximate height	6m
Site type	Lamp post
Survey date	26/05/2010
Survey time	12:15pm

The site at Maseline Harbour in Harbour Hill, Sark consists of one sector antenna for C&W attached to a lamp post. The site's antenna height is approximately 6m. The antenna is directional.

Access to the vicinity of the site is open to the public.

Table 3 – Site Details



5.2 Photographs



Figure 3 – View of the External Antenna (attached near top of lamp post)



5.3 Site Sketch




Figure 4 - Survey Area with Spot Measurement Markers

As part of the peak search procedure, spot measurements were taken throughout the site, as shown on the above sketch. The reported spectrum scans were taken at all three Test Site locations shown in the sketch (triangles). The TX site is the pink arrow.

The results which follow were taken from Location 1 where the highest readings were detected.

5.4 Results of the electromagnetic exposure survey per operator

A single operator uses the site at the present time: C&W (on 900MHz). Surveys were nonetheless conducted at all three frequency bands (GSM900, DCS1800 and UMTS) and for all three cellular operators as a routine procedure. Only the results for the operators/frequency bands that showed radio signal levels above the metre's sensitivity are shown in this section.

Note that the results presented in the following tables are accurate to within the rounding precision of the last digit.



Cable & Wireless: 900MHz

The results of the survey at Cable&Wireless' 900MHz band are given below.




Figure 5 – C&W Spectrum Scan Graph (900MHz)

Field strength levels measured at the site are shown on a logarithmic scale in the figure above. The yellow line on the graph represents the ICNIRP Reference levels for the general public for the frequency band under consideration. No field level recorded across the band was higher than 4.138 V/m, which is 10 times smaller than the ICNIRP Reference level.

Site name	Max measured field strength [V/m]	ICNIRP Reference Level Relative to Max measured field strength	Band Exposure Quotient	Band Exposure Quotient Relative to ICNIRP
Maseline Harbour (L1)	4.138000011	10	0.03026250	1/ 33

Table 4 – C&W Spectrum Scan Table (900MHz)

The exposure quotient over the 900MHz band was calculated from the survey data and found to be equal to 0.03026250, effectively representing a value 1/33 times the recommended ICNIRP levels over the band.



6 Cumulative electromagnetic exposure

The cumulative Exposure Quotient recorded across all detected cellular frequency bands present at the site is given at the bottom of the summary table below. In this instance, only Cable&Wireless was detected and measured at the site.

Frequency band	Operator	Total EQ	Total EQ relative to unity
GSM900	C&W	0.0302625	1/ 33
GSM900	Wave Telecom	0.00000325	1/ 308072
DCS1800	Airtel	0.00000063	1/ 1591518
DCS1800	Wave Telecom	0.00000141	1/ 708294
UMTS	Airtel	0.00000237	1/ 421851
UMTS	Wave Telecom	0.00000229	1/ 437180
Cumulative EQ		0.0302725	1/ 33

Table 5 - Cumulative Exposure Quotient

The results show that the cumulative EQ was measured at 1/33 and therefore remains below the ICNIRP guidelines.

The values shown are the maximum levels that were recorded around the site following a peak search. In most other locations, the levels were lower but it must be stressed that the recorded values are only true at the time of testing.

